



## Supreme Court's Ruling in NCAA v. Alston May Shake Up the Largely-Untapped Insurance Market Serving College Athletes

Update

July 20, 2021 | 2 minute read

---

How much would you pay for Trevor Lawrence's throwing arm? Anthony Edwards's dunking prowess? What about the deft touch of the Nebraska women's bowling team, who recently demolished Arkansas State to earn their sixth national championship since 2004?

Typically, disability policies and loss-of-value riders for college athletes are associated with first or second round draft prospects who compete in the NCAA's flagship sports, such as men's football and basketball. These offer coverage if an athlete suffers an injury that directly and substantially affects their eventual draft position, and thus, their future professional earnings. However, as the dust settles from the Supreme Court's *Alston* decision, insurers are eyeing what may be a broader market now that athletes and universities could no longer be shackled to the NCAA's rules that restricted the funding of these policies.

Before *Alston*, the NCAA allowed schools to pay the premiums of player disability policies and loss-of-value riders only out of limited funds provided by the NCAA to each institution's Special Assistance Fund or Student Athlete Opportunity Fund. This artificially suppressed the amount of insurance coverage that large programs might have otherwise purchased for their blue-chip prospects. Still, even these limited funds provided a useful recruiting tool to powerhouse programs looking to attract high-school stars or convince college standouts not to forego their remaining years of eligibility to protect their draft status. Athletes have always been free to purchase policies themselves, but affording them, and collecting on them, can be difficult.

In *Alston*, the Supreme Court upheld a ruling that prohibited the NCAA from enforcing certain rules limiting education-related benefits available to student-athletes.<sup>1</sup> The court applied a "rule of reason" analysis and held that the NCAA's restrictions on compensation and benefits for student-athletes violated

### Related Practices

[Insurance Recovery](#)

[Litigation](#)

the Sherman Antitrust Act.<sup>2</sup> The permanent injunction does not stop the NCAA from continuing to prohibit compensation from commercial entities or boosters, but it does free up the schools and player disability policies will almost certainly be impacted.

Hopefully, larger budgets for player disability policies will increase access to all athletes, though it is likely that additional funds will be used to attract even more talent to already competitive programs. While schools and conferences wait to see exactly how the NCAA plans to navigate *Alston*, insurers should prepare for a sizeable boom in business.

\* \* \* \* \*

Bracewell's policyholder-only insurance practice has experience representing athletes and professional sports teams in negotiating the placement of player disability policies, the submission of claims and even handling disputes that have arisen in the face of coverage denials.

Our team has a proven track record of prevailing against major insurers across the globe, and we are strong advocates for our clients while also being mindful of commercial sensitivities that may influence how we approach a given matter.

For other timely topics on insurance law, please check out Bracewell's new podcast – Covered: The Insurance Law Podcast for Corporate Policyholders.

---

1. *Nat'l Collegiate Athletic Ass'n v. Alston*, 141 S. Ct. 2141 (2021)

2. *Id.*